

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/590,216	MATSUSHITA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Nathan Danielsen	2627	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending, after final

(1) Nathan Danielsen. (3) _____.

(2) James Ledbetter. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2009

Time: 8:55 PM EDT

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Found in Office actions mailed 18 December 2008 and 09 June 2009.

Claims discussed:

5 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent Application Publication 2002/0067687

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance on 05 June 2009, which applicant's representative confirmed on 10 June 2009.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)